Joseph A. Serdakowski, Ph.D., P.E., M.B.A. 2 Round Hill Court East Greenwich, RI 02818 401.996.3631 joeserd@gmail.com

July 10, 2023

Mr. Ray Mullaney Equity Risk Sciences 6828 Post Road, Suite 3E N. Kingstown, RI 02852 (203) 254-0000

Topic: Independent backtesting of ERS's Portfolio Performance Model

ERS commissioned me to validate ERS's Portfolio Performance Model. I worked with Ray Mullaney in 2014 on a similar project and have developed my own method for picking stocks that are highly probable of outperforming the S&P 500 which I use to manage a portion of my personal portfolio.

After an initial review of ERS's source code I realized that validating the code by reviewing it on a line-byline basis would be a very tedious and laborious task. I chose to start with a blank Excel workbook and build a separate model using the same assumptions communicated to me about ERS's model.

ERS has 4 proprietary metrics that are calculated for every stock on every trading day. I am not privy to those calculations, so I accept their word that these 4 metrics are calculated based on backward-looking properties of each stock and that the calculations are accurately stored in ERS's database.

12/31/17 to 12/31/22 12/31/12 to 12/31/22 Portfolio (5 Years) (10 Years) Enduring Portfolio<sup>™</sup> \$27.47 (22.4%) \$63.97 (20.4%) using Independent Backtester Enduring Portfolio<sup>™</sup> \$28.69 (23.5%) \$62.14 (20.0%) using In-House Backtester S&P 500 \$15.69 (9.4%) \$32.65 (12.6%)

ERS is reporting these results:

I wrote the "Independent Backtester". The two different backtesters achieve the same results within an acceptable difference. In short, *"This works!"* 

Joseph A. Serdakowski, Ph.D., P.E., M.B.A.